

## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

In response to the Examiner's final Office Action of May 19, 2008 the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the following Remarks.

### ***Regarding Amendment***

In the Amendment:

independent claim 1 is amended to specify that the determined operation parameters are selected from the group consisting of remaining media web length, media web tension and end-to-end integrity of the media web. Support for this amendment can be found at 42, line 27-page 43, line 21 of the present specification;

withdrawn claims 46 and 47 are cancelled; and

dependent claims 4-10, 16, 18, 19, 30, 36-41 and 48 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendment does not add any new matter to the present application, nor any new issues to the prosecution of the present application.

### ***Regarding Non-Statutory Double Patenting Rejections***

It is respectfully submitted that above-described amended independent claim 1 is patentably distinct from the claims of each of US Patent Nos. 6,944,970, 6,920,704 and 7,108,434 and copending Application Nos. 10/760,257, 10/760,228, 10/760,225, 10/760,251, 10/760,240, 10/760,226, 10/760,224, 10/760,199, 10/760,193, 10/760,269, 10/760,260, 10/760,266, 10/760,230, 10/760,215 and 10/760,214 in view of newly cited Bullock et al. (US 5,835,817), because none of the claims of these patents and applications in view of Bullock recite a method which includes determining operation parameters selected from the group consisting of remaining media web length, media web tension and end-to-end integrity of the media web and producing the wallpaper with the printer in accordance with the determined operation parameters.

This is because, Bullock discloses that it is important to monitor parameters of the ink cartridge and print head (see col. 6, line 58-col. 7, line 13), and does not mention print media, let alone monitoring parameters of a web of media in the manner recited in the claimed invention. Thus, there is no combination of Bullock with the claims of the cited patents and applications that results in the claimed invention.

### ***Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections***

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 4-10, 16, 18, 19, 30, 36-41 and 48 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by any one or more of previously cited Martin, Nozawa, Stoffel, Goldstein and Rottman in view of newly cited Bullock, because as discussed above Bullock does not mention print media, let alone monitoring parameters of a web of media in the manner recited in the claimed invention. Thus, there is no motivation from the disclosure of Bullock for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the monitoring of the claimed invention in any combination with Martin, Nozawa, Stoffel, Goldstein and Rottman.

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:

---

Janette Faye Lee



---

Kia Silverbrook



---

Tobin Allen King

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762